

SAIPAR Case Review

Volume 4
Issue 1 May 2021

Article 3

5-2021

Editor's Note

O'Brien Kaaba

University of Zambia; Southern African Institute for Policy and Research

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/scr>



Part of the [African Studies Commons](#), and the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kaaba, O'Brien (2021) "Editor's Note," *SAIPAR Case Review*. Vol. 4 : Iss. 1 , Article 3.

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/scr/vol4/iss1/3>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in SAIPAR Case Review by an authorized editor of Scholarship@Cornell Law: A Digital Repository. For more information, please contact jmp8@cornell.edu.

Editor's Note

We are very glad to present *Volume 4: Issue 1* of the SCR 2021. The current issue features seven case reviews covering various branches of law and featuring cases from four countries, that is, Zambia, South Africa, Namibia and Kenya. The first case is that of *Enoch Kahale and Others v The People* which explores the issue of expert evidence in criminal cases. The second, *The People v Attorney General and Energy Regulation Board*, deals with the first instance decision of a matter still active on appeal and explores the legality of the government declaring the transmission lines of a private energy company as common carriers. In *New Nation Movement NPC and Others v President of the Republic of South Africa*, the author analyses the decision of the South African Constitutional Court which allowed the participation of independent candidates in a country that utilises proportional representation based on the party system.

How should people's gender identity be reflected in official identity documents? The case of *Baby 'A' and Another v Attorney General and Others* deals with the identity of intersex persons. This is followed by the case of *Prosecutor-General of Namibia v Namoloh and Others*, which explores the discretionary powers of the prosecution authority in Namibia, especially the power to continue, discontinue or reinstate prosecution.

The last two case commentaries focus on Zambia. The first, *Savenda Management Services Ltd v Stanbic Bank Zambia Ltd and Gregory Chifire* explores the decision of the Supreme Court to hold in contempt of Court an activist for making ex-curia remarks. The final commentary is an omnibus critique of four decisions of the Constitutional Court adjudicated in the context of the forthcoming August 2021 general elections in Zambia.

We hope you will enjoy our selection of case reviews.

O'Brien Kaaba